

## REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3-14 remain in this application . Claims 1, 13 and 14, the independent claims, and Claims 3 and 5-7 have been amended to define still more clearly what Applicant regards as his invention, in terms which distinguish over the art of record. Claim 2 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter, and will not be mentioned further. The abstract has been amended as to minor matters of form.

In response to the objections to the drawing in paragraph 1 of the Office Action, and to the specification in paragraph 2, a substitute specification is submitted herewith, in both a clean and a marked version. No new matter has been added.

### The Incorporation-by-Reference Objection

The objection to the specification made in paragraph 3 of the Office Action is traversed on the following grounds.

Initially, the reference at page 15 of the original application to Japanese Kokai 10-051651 has been reworded to make clear that it is an incorporation by reference. Nonetheless, Applicant does not agree with the propriety of the Examiner's objection and requirement. The only basis for that requirement appears to be the examiner's view that the portion of the contents of that document that does not already appear expressly in the present application is "essential disclosure", that is, disclosure without which some one or more of the claims do not have proper support under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. MPEP § 608.01(p) I. A. at page 600-79. Nothing in the Office Action suggests that the Examiner has concluded that the disclosure explicitly contained in the specification as filed is in any way insufficient to support the claims (except as to original Claim 8, to which this objection and requirement are not relevant), or that the remaining disclosure contained in that Kokai goes beyond what is in the present application and is necessary to support some

claim. Absent such a finding, however, the requirement is improper, as no “essential disclosure” is missing from the application.

Alternatively, if the Examiner’s view is that no document may be incorporated by reference if any of its contents are relevant to the support for a claim, even if the same disclosure is present in the application already, then the Examiner is believed to be misreading the MPEP. It is noted that if this view were correct, then it would be improper for an applicant to incorporate his own parent application by reference: nonetheless, the MPEP explicitly permits such incorporation by reference (see MPEP § 201.06(c), at page 200-37). Reference is also made to the following recent OG notice now posted on [www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov):

“Section 1.57(a) is similar to the practice under MPEP Sec. 201.06(c), where there is an explicit incorporation by reference of a prior U.S. application contained in the specification, or in the application transmittal letter of a continuation or divisional application filed under Sec. 1.53(b). See MPEP Sec. 201.06(c). Section 1.57(a) is also consistent with Patent Law Treaty (PLT) Article 5(6)(b) and Rule 2(3) and (4). Of course, applicants may continue to explicitly incorporate by reference a prior application or applications by including, in the body of the specification as filed, a statement that the prior application or applications is ‘hereby incorporated by reference.’ Such an explicit incorporation by reference would not be limited to inadvertent omissions as in Sec. 1.57(a). Accordingly, applicants are encouraged to explicitly incorporate by reference a prior application or applications by including such a statement in the body of the specification, if incorporation is desired and appropriate.” United States Patent and Trademark Office, OG Notices: 12 October 2004, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Patent and Trademark Office, 37 CFR Parts 1, 5, 10, 41, and 104, [Docket No.: 2003-P-020] RIN 0651-AB64, Changes To Support Implementation of the United States Patent and Trademark Office 21st Century Strategic Plan

Accordingly, it is believed to be clear that this objection is improper, and its withdrawal is respectfully requested.

## The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not complying with the written description requirement. It is respectfully pointed out that Claim 8 is an original claim in this application. As a result, its presence in the application as filed ordinarily will fully meet the written description requirement as to that claim, and there is a “strong presumption” to this effect:

“There is a strong presumption that an adequate written description of the claimed invention is present when the application is filed. *In re Wertheim* [citation omitted].” MPEP § 2163. A., at page 2100-160.

The same section of the MPEP notes that

“the issue of a lack of adequate written description may arise even for an original claim when an aspect of the claimed invention has not been described with sufficient particularity such that one skilled in the art would recognize that the applicant had possession of the claimed invention.” *Ibid.*

The immediately following text in the MPEP elaborates on this:

“The claimed invention as a whole may not be adequately described if the claims require an essential or critical feature which is not adequately described in the specification and which is not conventional in the art or known to one of ordinary skill in the art. For example, consider the claim ‘A gene comprising SEQ ID NO:1.’ A determination of what the claim as a whole covers may result in a conclusion that specific structures such as a promoter, a coding region, or other elements are included. Although all genes encompassed by this claim share the characteristic of comprising SEQ ID NO:1, there may be insufficient description of those specific structures (e.g., promoters, enhancers, coding regions, and other regulatory elements) which are also included.” *Ibid.*

The feature of Claim 8 identified in paragraph 3 of the Office Action as possibly not being adequately disclosed is the n-value processing. The specification has been amended to clarify the relevant text, which is to the effect that the processing may include n-value processing where n has a value either equal to 2, in which case the processing is what is also known as binarization, or a different value, in which case what is

referred to is the also well-known processing to convert image data in which each pixel is represented by a given number (say, m) of bits of data into data having n bits per pixel (typically  $n < m$ ). Both are well known. It is surmised that the use of the specific wording “two(n)-valued processing” in the specification may have been confusing, and that wording has accordingly been corrected.

In view of the foregoing, withdrawal of that rejection is respectfully requested.

#### The Prior-Art Rejection

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 3-8, 11, 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from U.S. Patent 5,539,865 (Gentile) in view of Japanese Patent Application Laid-Open 08-278876A (Ota).

As is discussed in the application, it is intended to provide an advance over the prior art in which in order to perform correction processing (such as color correction or conversion, or binarization or other n-valued processing) on a body of compressed image data, it is necessary first to expand or decompress the image data. This requirement involves sometimes considerable processing time, and it would be desirable to be able to dispense with the need to expand the data before determining the appropriate type of processing.

Independent Claim 1 is directed to an image processing method for performing correction processing according to an attribute of an image, in which an analysis is performed as to whether compressed data contained in a drawing instruction corresponds to an image attribute, a text attribute or a graphics attribute, and the compressed data is developed to a bit map using a method corresponding to an analysis

result obtained in the analyzing step. In addition, correction processing is performed on the bit map according to the attribute identified on the basis of the analysis result.

*Gentile* relates to a system in which a page of data that may include image, graphics and text (and may include regions containing a combination of those types). The page is divided into the regions of different types, which are compressed using appropriate techniques and stored (see Fig. 3). In the process used to retrieve the image and to output it by printing or by means of a display, each portion or region is decompressed using the appropriate technique, preparatory to outputting. The compressed data is examined to determine what compression technique was used, as recognized by the Examiner (col. 12, lines 60-61).

It should be noted that the target of the analysis recited in Claim 1 is not the compression algorithm of a body of compressed data, but is “whether compressed data contained in a drawing instruction corresponds to an image attribute, a text attribute or a graphics attribute”. By virtue of this analysis, the method of Claim 1 makes it possible to effect a rapid analysis of the attribute (image attribute, text attribute, graphics attribute) from the compressed data before that data is subjected to extraction or decompression processing, which in turn makes it possible to achieve in an effective fashion the color processing corresponding to the obtained result of the analysis. This significant effect of the method of Claim 1 cannot be achieved by the system of *Gentile* (nor by that of *Ota*, as discussed below).

Applicant submits that nothing has been found, or pointed out, in *Gentile* that would teach or suggest performing an analysis of compressed data contained in a drawing instruction to determine whether the data is text data, or graphics data, or image data, as recited in Claim 1. An analysis that reveals what compression technique has been used on a given body of data does not teach or suggest an analysis to determine which of

those three specific data types in involved, at the least because an identification of compression technique used does not uniquely identify the data type. As taught explicitly by *Gentile*, certain techniques are suitable for more than one type, or for a mixture of two types (col. 5, lines 11-25). Thus, even an identification of the technique used for a body of data does not unambiguously identify the data type.

Moreover, as recognized by the Examiner, nothing in *Gentile* is seen to teach or suggest performing correction processing on a body of data whose nature has been determined by such analysis.

For both reasons, Claim1 is deemed to be clearly allowable over *Gentile*, taken alone.

*Ota* relates to a system in which a color process is performed according to attribute information. Even assuming the proposed combination of *Ota* with *Gentile* would be a permissible one, however, the result would not meet the terms of Claim 1, since the recited analyzing step would still be missing. Moreover, since the analyzing step would be missing, the proposed combination would still not teach or suggest performing correction processing on a body of compressed data whose type had been determined by means of the missing analysis.

Accordingly, it is believed to be clear that Claim 1 is allowable over *Gentile* and *Ota*, taken in any possible combination (if any).

Independent Claims 13 and 14 are computer memory medium and apparatus claims respectively corresponding to method Claim 1, and are believed to be patentable for at least the same reasons as discussed above in connection with the latter claim.

A review of the other art of record has failed to reveal anything which, in Applicant's opinion, would remedy the deficiencies of the art discussed above, as


references against the independent claims herein. Those claims are therefore believed patentable over the art of record.

The other claims in this application are each dependent from independent Claim 1, and are therefore believed patentable for the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and early passage to issue of the present application.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "L. P. Diana", is written over a horizontal line.

Leonard P. Diana  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 29,296

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200



The present invention has been made in view of the above-mentioned point. According to the present application, there is provided an image processing method for performing correction processing according to an attribute of an image, comprising the steps of: analyzing a format of compressed data contained in a drawing instruction to determine whether its attribute is text, image or graphics; developing the compressed data to a bit map using a method corresponding to an



analysis result obtained in said analyzing step; and performing correction processing on the bit map according to the attribute of the image identified on the basis of the analysis result.

5 Other characteristics of the present invention will become apparent from the following description of the preferred embodiment and the accompanying drawings.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10 Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing a configuration of an information processing system to which an image processing/printing method according to an embodiment is applied.

15 Fig. 2 is a conceptual diagram showing a flow of data from the time an image processing method control program and related data stored in a storage device of a medium reading device are read into a central processing unit until the data are sent to and printed on a printer in response to input of a print instruction from an input device.

Fig. 3 illustrates details of image driver processing sections in the conceptual diagram of Fig. 2.

20 Fig. 4 illustrates details of image driver processing sections in the conceptual diagram of Fig. 2.

Fig. 5 is an illustration of typical print sample data, showing what data enable one to discriminate among a text, graphics and an image.

Fig. 6 is a table showing what compressed data formats are attributed to the text, the graphic and the image, respectively.

25 Fig. 7 shows a state in which the image processing method control program and related data are loaded into a computer through an FD (floppy disk).

Fig. 8 shows a memory map of the image processing method control program stored in the FD.

30 Fig. 9 shows a state in which the memory map of the image processing method control program stored in the FD is loaded from an auxiliary storage device into a main storage device through the medium reading device.

Fig. 10 is a flowchart showing an embodiment of image driver processing.

Fig. 11 is a flowchart showing an embodiment of ~~PDI~~ PDL processing.

35 DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS  
(Embodiment 1)

Hereinbelow, an embodiment of the present invention will be described in detail with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing a configuration of an information processing system to which an image processing/printing method according to the present embodiment is applied.

In Fig. 1, a central processing unit 1 reads the program and related data stored in a storage medium such as an FD, a CD-ROM (compact disk read-only memory) or an IC (integrated circuit) memory card from a medium reading device 6 connected to the system. A ROM (read-only memory) 8 is also provided. Then the central processing unit 1 accesses application software such as an OS (operating system) or spreadsheet software (hereinbelow called "the application") loaded from the auxiliary storage device 3 to the main storage device 2 to process information input from an input device 4. The processed information is output to an output device 5 or a printer 7. In the embodiment, the output device 5 is a display device, which is discriminated from the printer 7 to be categorized as the output device by definition. The input device 4 is composed of a keyboard, a pointing device and so on. The auxiliary storage device 3 may be a hard disk or magneto-optical disk or a combination of these disks. In addition, the embodiment may be such that these devices constituting the system in Fig. 1 are connected through a network.

Fig. 2 is a conceptual diagram showing a flow of data from the time the image processing method control program and related data stored in the auxiliary storage device 3 of the medium reading device 6 are read into the central processing unit 1 until the data are sent to and printed on the printer 7 in response to input of a print instruction from the input device 4. In this case, both the application and a driver function under the control of the OS.

Fig. 3 illustrates details of image driver processing sections in the conceptual diagram of Fig. 2. The image driver designates software which runs on a host side such as a PC to develop data to a bit map in accordance with drawing instructions sent from the OS so as to transmit the developed bit map data to the printer.

When drawing instructions and compressed bit map data are sent from the OS, the image driver discriminates a format of the compressed data from the information contained in the drawing instructions (3-0 in Fig. 3). Based on the discrimination results, the image driver selects one of decompression processing methods (modules) preset or preprogrammed in the driver (3-1 in Fig. 3) to

develop the compressed data to a bit map (3-2 in Fig. 3). Then the image driver judges an attribute such as a text, graphics and an image from the compression format of the data to perform color correction processing appropriate to the attribute (3-3 in Fig. 3). Subsequently, the image driver performs color conversion (3-4 in Fig. 3) and two-valued or other  $[[()n[]]]$ -valued (3-5 in Fig. 3) processing appropriate to the respective attribute to convert the processed bit map data to a device bit map. After completion of all the processing in accordance with the drawing instructions, the device bit map is transmitted to the printer. It should be noted that the color correction may be carried out concurrently with decompression of the data, or after the data have been decompressed and developed into the bit map.

Fig. 4 illustrates details of PDL driver processing sections in the conceptual diagram of Fig. 2. The PDL driver designates software which runs on the host side to convert drawing instructions sent from the OS to a PDL (page-description language). The PDL is transferred to the printer and developed to a bit map inside the printer.

When the drawing instructions and the compressed bit map data are sent from the OS, the driver converts the drawing instructions to the PDL (4-0 in Fig. 4). The printer analyzes the PDL to judge a format of the compressed data from the information contained in the PDL (4-1 in Fig. 4). Then the printer selects one of decompression processing methods (modules) preset or preprogrammed as firmware of the printer (4-2 in Fig. 4) to develop the compressed data to a bit map (4-3 in Fig. 4). Next, the printer judges an attribute to the developed bit map, such as a text, graphics or a natural picture, from the compression format of the data to perform color correction processing appropriate to the attribute (4-4 in Fig. 4). Subsequently, the printer performs color conversion (4-5 in Fig. 4) and two-valued or other  $[[()n[]]]$ -valued (4-6 in Fig. 4) processing appropriate to the attribute to convert the processed bit map data to a device bit map. After completion of all the processing in accordance with the drawing instructions, the driver notifies the printer of the completion in the form of the PDL so that the printer will transfer and print out all the bit maps on an output medium. It should be noted that the color correction processing may be carried out concurrently with decompression of the data, or after the data have been decompressed and developed to the bit map.

Fig. 5 is an illustration of typical print sample data, showing what data can be used to discriminate among a text, graphics and an image.

The text Text, as its name implies, indicates character data.

The term graphics indicates computer graphics such as a circle and a triangle shown in Fig. 5. ~~The computer~~ Computer graphics includes other graphic elements such as a bar or circle graph, and a background picture for a presentation application.

5           The term image indicates an image taken with a digital camera or the like. The image also includes a picture and the like created with a computer-based application.

          Image characteristics vary according to the attribute of the image. It is therefore necessary to change color processing, such as color correction, color  
10   conversion and two-valued or other ~~[[()]]n[[()]]~~-valued processing, according to the characteristics of the image, so as to obtain desired color reproduction. Each type of image is considered to have characteristics as follows. For example, vivid colors tend to be used in graphics. It is therefore preferable to adjust the hue, saturation and brightness of colors in the color correction and conversion  
15   processing so that proper color discrimination will be obtained in the resultant image, thereby improving (or emphasizing) the vividness of the colors. On the other hand, continuity and gradation of colors are essential to the image. It is therefore preferable to perform color correction and color conversion so that the continuity of colors will be ~~made excellent~~ of high quality in the resultant image. It  
20   should be noted that the system may support JPEG-2000 compressed image data as the bit map data. In this case, a JPEG-2000 compressed data decompression module is prepared in the driver or printer shown in Fig. 3 or 4 so that the JPEG-2000 compressed image will be supported as a discrimination target in the format discrimination step (3-0 in Fig. 3) or (4-1 in Fig. 4). If the JPEG-2000 compressed  
25   data is supported as the compressed bit map data, ICC profile information to be added to the JPEG-2000 compressed image can be used for color matching in the color correction and conversion processing (3-3, 3-4 in Fig. 3) or (4-4, 4-5 in Fig. 4). Such additional information is not limited to the JPEG-2000. For example, if the format is identified as Exif, shooting conditions (such as use of a flash at  
30   shooting an image in the Exif file) to be added to the Exif file may be included in the drawing instructions. In this case, when the drawing instructions are analyzed, shooting conditions are anticipated from the shooting data so that proper color processing can be performed for color correction and color conversion (3-3, 3-4 in Fig. 3) or (4-4, 4-5 in Fig. 4). For example, ~~the~~ a cool skin color caused by firing  
35   the flash is taken off to make skin tones vivid (glow emphasis), or red eye areas

surrounded by the skin tones are recognized to correct the red eyes caused by firing the flash (red-eye correction).

Fig. 6 shows an example of the correspondence between attributes and data formats, that is, it shows what compressed data formats are attributed to the text, the graphics or the image types of data.

Since the image driver and the PDL driver are generally required to output high-quality images and a large amount of data needs processing, data formats which achieve more representable colors and greater compression rates are attributed to the image type.

The other data formats with a limited number of colors are attributed to the graphics or the text type.

Any method of discriminating among the attributes may be adopted, such as to discriminate among the image, the graphics and the text by analyzing the drawing instructions, or to discriminate among extensions like .jpeg and .png indicative of the type of (format) compression of image data (file) contained in the drawing instructions to identify the compressed data (format).

Fig. 7 shows a state in which the image processing method control program and related data are loaded into a computer through an FD. Upon setting the FD in the medium reading device, the image processing method control program and the related data are read out of the FD under the control of the OS and a basic I/O (input/output) program already read in the main storage device. The image processing method control program and the related data move to the ready state when being loaded in the main storage device.

Fig. 8 shows a memory map of the image processing method control program stored in the FD.

Fig. 9 shows a state in which the memory map of the image processing method control program stored in the FD is loaded from the auxiliary storage device into the main storage device through the medium reading device.

In the present embodiment, the image processing method control program is directly read from the FD into the main storage device through the auxiliary storage device so that the program will be executed. Alternatively, a copy of the image processing method control program may be saved from a storage medium such as the FD to the auxiliary storage device such as an HD (hard disk) so that the program will be read into the main storage device each time it is executed.

Instead of the FD or HD, other media, such as a magneto-optical disk, a CD-ROM and an IC memory card[[,]] may also be used to record the image

processing method control program. Further, the image processing method control program may be stored in a ROM (read-only memory).

The image processing method is programmed on the basis of flowcharts of the image processing method shown in Figs. 10 and 11.

5 Referring first to the flowchart of Fig. 10, an image print processing method using the image driver according to the embodiment will be described.

At first, instructions for execution of printing are input from the input device 4 (Fig. 1). Of all the OS, the driver and the application (Fig. 2) read from the auxiliary storage device 3 (Fig. 1) into the main storage device 3 (Fig. 1), the  
10 OS receives the message or the instructions. Then the OS sends the printing execution message to the application that is currently active.

The application converts the message to a command capable of being recognized by the OS to send data to be printed and the command message. Then the OS converts the message to a command capable of being recognized by the  
15 driver to send the command message.

Upon receipt of the drawing instructions (step 10-0), the driver performs compression data format discrimination among the image, the text and the graphics on the drawing instructions that contain the compressed data using a discrimination method as cited in Fig. 6 (step 10-1). Each type of compressed data is  
20 decompressed by a method suitable for the relevant compression data format (step 10-2), and color processing for color correction, color conversion and two-valued or other [[[]]n[[]]]-valued processing is performed on each type of expanded bit map data according to the type of compression data format identified in step 10-1 (step 10-3). Each of the processed data is developed to a bit map (step 10-4).  
25 Upon judging that all the drawing instructions have been completed (step 10-5), all the bit maps are transmitted to the printer (step 10-6).

Referring next to the flowchart of Fig. 11, an image print processing method using the PDL driver will be described.

Like in the image driver process, instructions for execution of printing are first input from the input device 4 (Fig. 1). Of all the OS, the driver and the  
30 application (Fig. 2) read from the auxiliary storage device 3 (Fig. 1) into the main storage device 3 (Fig. 1), the OS receives the message or the instructions. Then the OS sends the printing execution message to the application that is currently active.

The application converts the message to a command capable of being recognized by the OS to send data to be printed and the command message. Then the OS converts the message to a command capable of being recognized by the  
35

driver to send the command message. Upon receipt of the drawing instructions (step 11-0), the driver converts the drawing instructions to a PDL to transmit the same to the printer (step 11-1). Upon receipt of the PDL and compressed data, the printer performs compression data format discrimination among the image, the text and the graphics using a discrimination method as cited in Fig. 6 (step 11-2). Each type of compressed data is decompressed by a method suitable for the compression data format (step ~~10-3~~ 11-3), and color processing for color correction, color conversion and two-valued or other ~~[[()]]n[()]]~~-valued is performed on each type of expanded bit map data according to the type of compression data format identified in step 11-2 (step 11-4). Then each of the processed data is developed to a bit map (step 11-5). Upon ~~judging a judgment being made~~ that all the drawing instructions have been completed (step 11-6), all the bit maps are output (step 11-7).

The above-~~mentioned~~described embodiment ~~performed the~~ described the processing in response to input of the drawing instructions that contained compressed data. On the other hand, in the a case in which the input drawing instructions contain compressed data mixing with data other than the compressed data, or image data other than compressed data, the drawing instructions are interpreted as to whether they contain compressed data. If the drawing instructions contain compressed data, a format of each compressed data is analyzed to judge an attribute of the image concerned. If the drawing instructions contain data other than compressed data, an attribute of each image is judged according to the kind of each drawing instruction in a manner as described in Japanese ~~patent application~~ laid-open Patent Application Laid-Open No. 10-051651, the entire content of which is incorporated herein by reference.

For example, in the case of graphics, the image is represented by data indicative of a drawing function for drawing a figure and a color of the figure. In the case of a text, the image is represented by data indicative of a text command and a color of the text. Thus, when the data contain a drawing function for drawing a figure, it is attributed to the graphics, while when the data contain a text command, it is attributed to the text.

It should be noted that attributes of images are not limited to the above-mentioned text, graphics and image. For example, other attributes such as black characters and black-and-white images may be employed.

Other compression data formats may also be employed.

According to the above-mentioned embodiment, when an attribute of each image is determined on the basis of the kind of the drawing instruction concerned,

a format of compressed data can be analyzed, thereby properly determining the attribute of data that have been identified as the image.

Further, the optimum color processing can be performed on the attribute of compressed data, which makes it possible to obtain excellent output results.

5           Furthermore, there is no need to decompress the compressed data and analyze the expanded bit map data, which makes possible high-speed processing without putting an excessive load on the processing. In addition, the expanded bit map data do not need analyzing, which eliminates an error of judgment associated with the analysis process and improper color processing as a result of the  
10       misjudgment.

Thus, color processing appropriate to the attribute of compressed data can be performed, and hence excellent output results can be obtained.

Compared to a method in which bit map data obtained by decompressing and expanding compressed data need analyzing, the method according to the  
15       present embodiment can process bit map data at high speed without putting an excessive load on the processing.

As described above, the present invention may be applied to a system constituted of two or more pieces of equipment (e.g., a host computer, interface equipment, a reader, a printer and so on), or a[[n]] single apparatus (e.g., a copier  
20       or a facsimile).

The present invention can also be such that the features of the above-~~mentioned~~described embodiment are implemented via software by supplying program codes as shown in Figs. 10 and 11 to an apparatus or a computer in a system connected to various devices. In this case, the implementation of the  
25       features of the above-mentioned embodiment by operating the various devices according to the program stored in the computer (CPU or MPU) of the system or the apparatus will also fall into the scope of the present invention.

Since the software program codes themselves realize the features of the above-mentioned embodiment, the program codes themselves and means for  
30       supplying the program codes to the computer, that is, a storage medium for storing the program codes, will constitute the present invention as well.

The storage medium for storing the program codes may be any storage medium such as a floppy disk, a hard disk, an optical disk, a magneto-optical disk, a CD-ROM, a magnetic tap, a nonvolatile memory card, a ROM, etc.

35       The features of the above-mentioned embodiment are implemented not only by execution of the program codes supplied to the computer, but also by



cooperating the program codes with an OS (operating system), under which the program codes run on the computer, or other application software. In this case, the program codes, of course, are included in the embodiment of the present invention.

5       The supplied program codes may be stored in a memory provided on the computer's extended board or in an extended unit connected to the computer. In this case, a CPU or the like provided on the extended board or in the extended unit executes part or all the processing on the basis of the program codes to implement the features of the above-mentioned embodiment. This case is also included in the present invention.

10       ~~As described~~ In the above, the present invention ~~was~~ has been described [[in]] with reference to the preferred embodiment, but it is not limited to the above-mentioned embodiment, and various modifications are possible without departing from the scope of the appended claims.

15       It should be noted that the present invention may be applied to a system constituted of two or more pieces of equipment or to a [[n]] single apparatus. The present invention can also be applied to a case where the features are achieved by supplying a program to the system or the apparatus.